

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA (UiTM)
MALAYSIA**

**EMOTIONAL USER EXPERIENCE IN WEB
DESIGN: THE KANSEI ENGINEERING
APPROACH**

ANITAWATI MOHD LOKMAN

PhD

December 2009

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA (UiTM)
MALAYSIA**

**EMOTIONAL USER EXPERIENCE IN WEB
DESIGN: THE KANSEI ENGINEERING
APPROACH**

ANITAWATI MOHD LOKMAN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

December 2009

ABSTRACT

The use of the Internet has become an essential part of our everyday lives. People surf the website with various purpose to accomplish their personal goals. Inline with the thriving growth in the use of website, research in website design has expanded the focus from functionality and usability to the full range of human experience. In recent years, Human Computer Interaction (HCI) issues related to web applications have shifted its focus to address emotional aspect of website design. This is mainly due to the evolution of website functions from conveying information to the extent of providing persuasive engagement with visitors through the lively process of perception, judgment and action. Over the Internet, visitor's perception of websites significantly affects their browsing behaviours and purchase decisions. With its nature of unlimited availability, online businesses are exposed to vast competition. Hence, an online business need to offer a website that could capture visitor's attention at first sight and persuade them to stay longer on the website, to win over competitor. Supported by previous literature involving studies on user experience, this research argue that to motivate stickiness to website, the website must be able to cultivate emotional engagement and elicit positive user experience. To enable the development of such website, the knowledge of how design elements influence emotion must be pursued so that we could engineer the emotion into a website design. This research was performed to systematically engineer the aspect of emotional user experience in Website UID. The research formulated its research framework based on Kansei Engineering (K.E.) methodology. Using 35 valid website specimens, 40 emotional keywords, and 120 evaluation subjects, the research performed experiments to assess users' emotional responses to Website UID. Multivariate analyses were performed to the average evaluation result obtained from subjects to determine the concept of emotion in Website UID and investigate the associated design elements to be used as a guide in designing Kansei Website, a website that embeds target emotion in its design. Based on the results, the research proposed Kansei Web Design Guideline©, a guideline to the design of Kansei Website. A confirmatory study was performed to provide justification to the guideline. Five prototypes were developed according to the proposed guideline, to be used as specimen in the confirmatory study. A comparative analysis was performed to analyse differences in the structure of emotion formed by the assessment of emotion before and after the implementation of the guideline. The result has shown a clearer formation of the structure of emotion in the newly developed prototypes, and thus provided some hypothetical credence that it is possible to design website that embeds target emotion with the use of the guideline. Based on its results, this research developed Kansei Web Design Guideline©, Kansei Website Taxonomy, Method to Engineer Kansei Website, and Method of Emotion Detection. Additionally, the research has also developed Kansei Design Model and Periodic Table of Kansei Web Design Elements©. Although all of these outcomes were based on experiments that were performed with some limitations and constraints, they lend some novel foundations in the engineering of emotion in Website UID. Further testing of the experiments in a larger and more diverse population and variable could be performed to support the internal validity of the research claims.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful.

Many people have contributed their ideas, time, and energy to assist me in the pursuit of this research. I am grateful to my two supervisors: Associate Professor Dr Nor Laila Md Noor and Professor Dr. Mitsuo Nagamachi who gave me the opportunity to work on this topic, convinced me to incorporate an experimental approach, and taught me a great deal about writing research papers. Associate Professor Dr. Nor Laila Md Noor assured that the project satisfies the applied needs of the IT discipline, and Professor Dr. Mitsuo Nagamachi was my resource to the Kansei Engineering methodology used as a foundation to this research.

I thank all members of the HCI SIG group who supported me by discussing ideas, commenting on drafts, and providing good company. The research project was partially supported by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation Malaysia under the ScienceFund grant scheme.

I wish to thank my family and friends for their support and company during this exciting and challenging period of my life. I am especially grateful to my husband, Ismail Amat, who has been supporting me unconditionally in good and bad times throughout my research endeavours. My deepest love to all my children, Aqil, Eidlan, Zulaikha, Umaer, Uqashah and Eidrihana, for their loves and sacrifices in accommodating my selfish venture.

I would like to dedicate this work to Anis Umairah, my late daughter, whom her super-brave courage and will she thought me during her short life has been my inspiration thereafter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF TABLE | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xii |

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 | Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Definition of Terms | 5 |
| 1.4 | Research Objectives | 6 |
| 1.5 | Research Questions | 8 |
| 1.6 | Theoretical Research Framework | 10 |
| 1.7 | Research Scope and Limitations | 12 |
| 1.8 | Research Contribution | 14 |
| | 1.8.1 Methodological Contributions | 14 |
| | 1.8.2 Theoretical Contributions | 15 |
| | 1.8.3 Empirical Contribution | 15 |
| | 1.8.4 Practical Contribution | 17 |
| 1.9 | Structure of the Thesis | 18 |

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

| | | |
|-----|--------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Overview | 20 |
| 2.2 | The Design Science | 21 |

| | | |
|------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2.3 | Psychology and Emotion | 23 |
| 2.4 | Users and Design | 26 |
| 2.5 | Web Design | 28 |
| 2.6 | Issues in Web Design | 30 |
| 2.7 | Website Emotion | 31 |
| 2.8 | E-Commerce Website Evaluation | 34 |
| 2.9 | Existing Method of User's Evaluation | 36 |
| 2.10 | Summary | 44 |

CHAPTER 3: KANSEI ENGINEERING

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 3.1 | Overview | 48 |
| 3.2 | The Definition of Kansei | 49 |
| 3.3 | The Emergence of K.E. | 51 |
| 3.4 | The Usefulness of K.E. | 54 |
| 3.5 | The K.E. Methodology | 55 |
| | 3.5.1 Domain Selection | 56 |
| | 3.5.2 Kansei Measurement | 58 |
| | 3.5.3 Investigation of Design Elements | 59 |
| | 3.5.4 Analysis of Design Requirement | 59 |
| | 3.5.5 Kansei Product | 64 |
| 3.6 | Placing K.E. in the Study | 64 |
| 3.7 | Summary | 67 |

CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 4.1 | Overview | 68 |
| 4.2 | The Research Framework | 68 |
| 4.3 | Phase I: Theoretical Study | 70 |
| | 4.3.1 Structuring K.E. Method into Kansei Design Model | 71 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 4.4 | Phase II: Research Framework Development | 75 |
| 4.5 | Phase III: Exploratory Study | 76 |
| 4.5.1 | Preparation of Specimen | 76 |
| 4.5.1.1 | Identification of Initial Specimen | 77 |
| 4.5.1.2 | Investigation of Design Elements | 83 |
| 4.5.1.3 | Classification of Design Elements | 84 |
| 4.5.1.4 | Finalizing Valid Specimen | 87 |
| 4.5.2 | Recruitment of Evaluation Subject | 89 |
| 4.5.3 | The Measurement Tool | 90 |
| 4.5.3.1 | Synthesize Emotional Keywords | 90 |
| 4.5.3.2 | Selection of Domain Specific Emotional Keywords | 90 |
| 4.5.3.3 | Development of Checklist | 91 |
| 4.6 | Phase IV: Confirmatory Study | 92 |
| 4.6.1 | Preparation of Research Instrument | 93 |
| 4.6.1.1 | The Prototype (Specimen) | 93 |
| 4.6.1.2 | The Evaluation Subject (Good Subject) | 94 |
| 4.6.1.3 | The Measurement Tool | 94 |
| 4.7 | Summary | 96 |

CHAPTER 5: EXPLORATORY STUDY

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Overview | 97 |
| 5.2 | Pilot Study | 97 |
| 5.2.1 | Analysis and Conclusion | 98 |
| 5.3 | Emotion Measurement | 101 |
| 5.3.1 | The Emotion Measurement Procedure | 102 |
| 5.3.2 | The Exploratory Data Audit | 103 |
| 5.4 | Exploratory Analysis | 105 |
| 5.4.1 | Overcoming the Problem of Specimen Size and Multicollinearity | 107 |
| 5.4.2 | Conceptualising Emotion in Website Design | 110 |
| 5.4.2.1 | Correlations of Emotion | 110 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 5.4.2.2 | The Structure of Emotion | 112 |
| 5.4.2.3 | Concluding the Components | 124 |
| 5.4.2.4 | The Concept of Emotion | 125 |
| 5.4.3 | The Requirement Analysis | 128 |
| 5.4.3.1 | Partial Least Squares (PLS) Analysis | 129 |
| 5.4.3.2 | Clustering the Website Emotion | 135 |
| 5.5 | Proposing Kansei Web Design Guideline© | 138 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 140 |

CHAPTER 6: CONFIRMATORY STUDY

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 6.1 | Overview | 142 |
| 6.2 | The Validation Method | 142 |
| 6.3 | The Confirmatory Dataset | 144 |
| 6.3.1 | Prototype Development | 145 |
| 6.3.2 | The Testing (Emotion Measurement) | 147 |
| 6.3.3 | The Data Audit | 148 |
| 6.4 | The Exploratory Dataset | 148 |
| 6.5 | Comparative Analysis of the Exploratory and Confirmatory Datasets (Confirmatory Analysis) | 149 |
| 6.5.1 | The Exploratory PCA | 149 |
| 6.5.2 | The Confirmatory PCA | 150 |
| 6.5.3 | The Comparison PCA | 152 |
| 6.6 | Finalizing the Kansei Web Design Guideline | 154 |
| 6.6.1 | The Periodic Table of Kansei Web Design Elements© | 155 |
| 6.7 | Taxonomy Development | 157 |
| 6.8 | Method Generation | 158 |
| 6.9 | Summary | 160 |

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------|-----|
| 7.1 | Overview | 162 |
| 7.2 | Discussions | 163 |
| 7.3 | Implications of Research | 166 |
| 7.4 | Summary of Findings | 168 |
| 7.5 | Research Challenges | 169 |
| 7.6 | Research Limitations | 171 |
| 7.7 | Future Work | 173 |

| | |
|---------------------|-----|
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 176 |
|---------------------|-----|

APPENDICES

| | |
|---|-----|
| Appendix 1: The List of Initial Specimen | 208 |
| Appendix 2: Sample of Design Elements & Values | 212 |
| Appendix 3: Sample of the Matrix of Specimen vs. Design Elements | 214 |
| Appendix 4: Sample of Pareto Results for Design Elements in Initial Specimens | 216 |
| Appendix 5: Sample of the 35 Websites Specimen Used in Exploratory Study | 219 |
| Appendix 6: Sample of PLS Range | 224 |
| Appendix 7: Sample of Dominant Element | 226 |
| Appendix 8: Sample of Range for Design Elements & Emotion | 227 |
| Appendix 9: Sample of PLS Score for Specimen & Emotion | 228 |
| Appendix 10: The Kansei Web design Guideline© | 229 |
| Appendix 11: Sample of the Kansei Website Taxonomy | 237 |
| Appendix 12: List of the Research Publications | 241 |

LIST OF TABLE

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1.1: Terminologies. | 5 |
| Table 1.3: The Adoption Of K.E. in Website UID. | 11 |
| Table 2.1: Users and Design. (As In Preece Et Al., 2002). | 27 |
| Table 4.1: Exploratory Research Instrument. | 76 |
| Table 4.2: Control Condition in Identification of Initial Specimen. | 78 |
| Table 4.3: Font Family Reference. | 80 |
| Table 4.4: Font Size Reference. | 81 |
| Table 4.5: Page Orientation Reference. | 82 |
| Table 4.6: Colour Basics. | 82 |
| Table 4.7: Design Elements in The Initial Specimens | 84 |
| Table 4.8: Example of Design Elements and Values. | 85 |
| Table 4.9: Sample of The Matrix of Specimen vs. Design Element. | 86 |
| Table 4.10: The 35 Valid Specimen. | 88 |
| Table 4.11: The Emotional Keywords. | 91 |
| Table 4.12: Confirmatory Research Instruments. | 93 |
| Table 5.1: Sample of the Average Evaluation Data from Pilot Study. | 98 |
| Table 5.2: Instruments and Equipments for the Emotion Measurement. | 101 |
| Table 5.3: Sample of Raw Data. | 103 |
| Table 5.4 : Computed Calculation on Acceptance Sampling. | 104 |
| Table 5.5: Computed Calculation on Generated Plans. | 104 |
| Table 5.6: Data Audit Results. | 105 |
| Table 5.7: Sample of the Average Data. | 106 |
| Table 5.8: Analysis Flow. | 107 |
| Table 5.9: Sample of the Simple Correlation Table. | 111 |
| Table 5.10: Sample of the Zero Correlation Table. | 112 |
| Table 5.11: Differences in the Structure of Emotion with Population Size. | 123 |
| Table 5.12: Differences in the Structure of Emotion with Educational Background. | 123 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 5.13: Differences in the Structure of Emotion with Gender Background. | 124 |
| Table 5.14: Factor Contribution Table. | 125 |
| Table 5.15: Factor Loadings for Emotions. | 127 |
| Table 5.16: Sample of the Converted Dummy Variables. | 129 |
| Table 5.17: Percent of Variation Explained for Adorable. | 130 |
| Table 5.18: Sample of The PLS Coefficient Score. | 131 |
| Table 5.19: A Sample of the Influence of Design Elements to Emotion. | 133 |
| Table 5.20: Website and Emotion. | 134 |
| Table 5.21: Sample from the Proposed Kansei Web Design Guideline©. | 139 |
| Table 6.1: Sample of the Selected Emotion from The Guideline. | 145 |
| Table 6.2: Confirmatory Website Specimen. | 146 |
| Table 6.3: The Kansei Website Taxonomy – 1st Level. | 157 |
| Table 6.4: The Kansei Website Taxonomy - 2nd Level (Mystic). | 158 |
| Table 7.1: Summary of Findings. | 168 |

LIST OF FIGURE

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 2.1: Russel Circumplex Model of Affect (1980). | 25 |
| Figure 2.2: Classification of Web Design Elements. | 29 |
| Figure 2.3: Components of User Experience Model. (Mahlke & Thüring, 2007). | 33 |
| Figure 2.4: The House of QFD (Akao, 1990). | 41 |
| Figure 2.5: Product Emotion Evaluation Tool (Premo) (Destmet, 2003). | 42 |
| Figure 3.1: Product Evolution by Consumer Maturity over Time. | 52 |
| Figure 3.2: Principle of K.E. | 56 |
| Figure 3.3: Concept of K.E. in Website Design. | 66 |
| Figure 4.1: The Research Framework. | 69 |
| Figure 4.2: Kansei Design Model. | 72 |
| Figure 4.3: Basic Webpage Layout. | 79 |
| Figure 4.4: Rules to Identify Valid Specimen. | 87 |
| Figure 4.5: Rules in Screening Specimens. | 87 |
| Figure 4.6: Sample of Checklist for the Exploratory Study. | 92 |
| Figure 4.7: Sample of Checklist for the Confirmatory Study. | 95 |
| Figure 5.1: PC Loadings for Pilot Study. | 99 |
| Figure 5.2: PC Vector for Pilot Study. | 100 |
| Figure 5.3: Layout Setting. | 101 |
| Figure 5.4: The Emotion Measurement Procedure. | 103 |
| Figure 5.5: The Structure Of PLS (Ishihara, 2007). | 110 |
| Figure 5.6: PCA Contribution Table. | 113 |
| Figure 5.7: PC Loading for PC1 & PC2. | 115 |
| Figure 5.8: PC Score for PC1 & PC2. | 116 |
| Figure 5.9: PC Vector for PC1 & PC2. | 117 |
| Figure 5.10: PC Loading for PC1 & PC3. | 118 |
| Figure 5.11: PC Score for PC1 & PC3. | 119 |
| Figure 5.12: PC Vector for PC1 & PC3. | 120 |
| Figure 5.13: PC Loading for PC2 & PC3. | 121 |
| Figure 5.14: PC Score for PC2 & PC3. | 122 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 5.15: PC Vector for PC2 & PC3. | 122 |
| Figure 5.16: Dendrogram for the Hierarchical Clustering of Emotion. | 136 |
| Figure 5.17: Cluster 1 - Simple, Feminine, Comfortable. | 137 |
| Figure 5.18: Cluster 2 - Cute, Interesting, Childish. | 137 |
| Figure 5.19: Cluster 5 - Cool, Impressive, Masculine. | 137 |
| Figure 5.20: Cluster 9 - Refreshing, Simple, Natural. | 138 |
| Figure 5.21: Cluster 11 - Impressive, Gorgeous, Futuristic. | 138 |
| Figure 6.1: The Guideline Validation Method. | 143 |
| Figure 6.2: The Confirmatory Method. | 144 |
| Figure 6.3: Example of Design Requirement for 'Cute' Website. | 146 |
| Figure 6.4: The Emotion Measurement Procedure. | 147 |
| Figure 6.5: PC Loadings for Exploratory Dataset. | 149 |
| Figure 6.6: PC Vector for Exploratory Dataset. | 150 |
| Figure 6.7: PC Loadings for Confirmatory Dataset. | 151 |
| Figure 6.8: PC Vector for Confirmatory Dataset. | 151 |
| Figure 6.9: The Confirmatory Method. | 152 |
| Figure 6.10: PC Loadings for Comparison Dataset. | 153 |
| Figure 6.11: PC Vector for Comparison Dataset. | 153 |
| Figure 6.12: Snapshot of The Periodic Table. | 156 |
| Figure 6.13: Method to Engineer Kansei Website. | 159 |
| Figure 6.14: Method of Emotion Detection. | 160 |